UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,769	03/15/2004	Aavishkar Bharara	16INI0224	2653
Patrick W. Rasche Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600 One Metropolitan Square St. Louis, MO 63102			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, VAN H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/16/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/800,769	BHARARA, AAVISHKAR	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the h appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later tha	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ch the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	hereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
<ul> <li>3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but price (a) They raise new issues that would require further considers (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form</li> </ul>	ation and/or search (see NOTE below);
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and	ponding number of finally rejected claims.
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical transfer of the file of the fil	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	, in the second
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/S13. Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)
	/VAN H NGUYEN/
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

Continuation of 3. NOTE: because the added limitations to the claims were not claimed in combination with these claims previously, the amendment is presenting claims of new/different scopes and would require further consideration and/or search.